

From: [Brush, Jason](#)
To: [PerezSullivan, Margot](#)
Cc: [Leidy, Robert](#); [Goldmann, Elizabeth](#)
Subject: FW: on Nov 7 letter to the Corps....
Date: Friday, November 22, 2013 5:02:14 PM

I have not responded, but would say:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] B5 [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

From: Richard Kamp [mailto:k[REDACTED] B6
Sent: Friday, November 22, 2013 3:38 PM
To: Brush, Jason
Subject: on Nov 7 letter to the Corps....

Hi Jason: I'm doing an analysis piece on your analysis.
Augusta claimed:

“The EPA letter refers to old plans and issues which were the basis of discussion and not decision. Additionally the references to scientific flaws are from a letter written almost a year ago and acknowledged that the assessment methods were what was prescribed by the ACOE and references a mitigation plan that is out of date and not currently in use”

**Think that's accurate? And where does the EPA-Corps dialogue go from here...?
best Dick Kamp Wick Communications Environmental Liaison**

[REDACTED] B6 [REDACTED]